

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/445,919 03/16/2000 JOHAN STJERNSCHANTZ 24256 7590 06/02/2004 DINSMORE & SHOHL, LLP 1900 CHEMED CENTER 255 EAST FIFTH STREET	TORNEY DOCKET NO. 10806-106 EXAMI FAY, ZOR ART UNIT 1614 ATE MAILED: 06/02/200	HREH A PAPER NUMBER
--	--	---------------------

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	office Action Summ	arv	Part of Paper N	lo./Mail Date 05292004
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) /SB/08)	- 11-/-		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docured copies of the priority docured copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Beauty * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have beer ments have beer priority docume	received. received in App nts have been re e 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this Na	 ational Stage
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	the drawing(s) be	is the drawing(s)	is objected to. See	s(a). 37 CFR 1.121(d). rm PTO-152.
A) Claim(s) 4,5,7-11 and 18-23 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 4, 5, 7-11 and 18-23 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are object to restriction and are subject to restriction are subject to restriction.	d.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The solution for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	range except for	formal matters, I	prosecution as to 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CONTROL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CONTROL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CONTROL THE MAILING THE MAY BE AVAILABLE OF THE MAILING AS THE PRIVATE OF THE MAILING THE MAILI	136(a). In no event, howard the statutory many within the statutory many will expirate, cause the application and date of this community.	inimum of thirty (30) die SIX (6) MONTALS (0)	ays will be considered tinm the mailing date of thi	nely. s communication.
The MAILING DATE of this communication apprinted for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIC SET TO EX	PIRE 3 MONTH	(S) FROM	
	Zohreh Fay	r sheet with the	correspondence a	address
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	09/445,919		STJERNSCHAN	TZ ET AL.
	Application No.		Applicant(s)	

Application/Control Number: 09/445,919

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 4, 5, 7-11 and 18-23 are presented for examination.

The final rejection of is hereby withdrawn.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by the Chemical Abstract 87:63008 for the reasons set forth on page 2 of the office action of February 5, 2003.

Claims 5, 7-11 and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stjernschantz et al. for the reasons set forth on page 2 of the office action of February 5, 2003 and the following reasons for claims 22 and 23.

Stjernschantz et al. Teach the use of the claimed compounds for the treatment of glaucoma. Applicant's claims are drawn to the use of the claimed compounds for the treatment of glaucoma with reducing melanogenesis. It is the examiner's position that it does not appear the claim language or limitation result in a manipulative difference in the method steps when compared to the prior art disclosure. See Bristol-Myers Squibb Company V. Ben Venue Laboratories 58, USPQ2nd 1508 (CAFC 2001). The mechanism of action does not have bearing on the patentability of the invention if the invention was already known or obvious. Mere recognition of latent properties in the prior art does not render non-obvious an otherwise known invention. In re Wiseman, 201 USPQ 658 (CCPA 1979). Granting a patent on the discovery of unknown but inherent function would remove from the public that which is in the public domain by virtue of its inclusion in, or obviousness from the prior art. In re Baxter Travenol Labs, 21 USOQ2nd 1281 (Fed. Cir. 1991). See MPEP 2145.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh Fay whose telephone number is (571) 272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (571) 272-0573. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Z.F

Zahol Fy

Claims 4, 5, 7-11 and 18-23 are presented for examination.

The final rejection of is hereby withdrawn.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by the Chemical Abstract 87:63008 for the reasons set forth on page 2 of the office action of February 5, 2003.

Claims 5, 7-11 and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stjernschantz et al. for the reasons set forth on page 2 of the office action of February 5, 2003 and the following reasons for claims 22 and 23.

Stjernschantz et al. Teach the use of the claimed compounds for the treatment of glaucoma. Applicant's claims are drawn to the use of the claimed compounds for the treatment of glaucoma with reducing melanogenesis. It is the examiner's position that it does not appear the claim language or limitation result in a manipulative difference in the method steps when compared to the prior art disclosure. See Bristol-Myers Squibb Company V. Ben Venue Laboratories 58, USPQ2nd 1508 (CAFC 2001). The mechanism of action does not have bearing on the patentability of the invention if the invention was already known or obvious. Mere recognition of latent properties in the prior art does not render non-obvious an otherwise known invention. In re Wiseman, 201 USPQ 658 (CCPA 1979). Granting a patent on the discovery of unknown but inherent function would remove from the public that which is in the public domain by virtue of its inclusion in, or obviousness from the prior art. In re Baxter Travenol Labs, 21 USOQ2nd 1281 (Fed. Cir. 1991). See MPEP 2145.

Art Unit: 1614

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh Fay whose telephone number is (571) 272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (571) 272-0573. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Z.F

Zah VFO